

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Christine Burke	990462C1	4305
	EXAMINER	
	GELIN, JEA	N ALLAND
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2681	
		GELIN, JEA

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,598	BURKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean A Gelin	2681
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	lv 2003.	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/622,598 Page 2

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology often used in patent claims such as "disclosed" (see abstract, line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure (paragraph 23) is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valimaa et al. (Valimaa, US Pat. No. 5,926,769) in view of Reding et al. (US Pat. No. 5,812,657)

Regarding claims 1-3, Valimaa teaches a wireless communication device (fig. 1) comprising: a keypad (22) having buttons, wherein the buttons have alphabetical characters mapped to corresponding numeric characters (col. 3, lines 8-20); a memory configured to store a string of alphanumeric characters representing a telephone number (col. 3, lines 21-32, lines 51-67). Valimaa further teaches entering numeric and

Application/Control Number: 10/622,598

Art Unit: 2681

non-numeric characters (corresponding to alphanumeric) to store or dial a telephone number (col. 6, lines 25-35).

Valimaa does not specifically teach an alphanumeric converter to translate the string of alphanumeric characters into corresponding numeric characters.

However, converting alphanumeric string to numeric is very well known in the art of communications, as evidenced by Reding. Reding teaches a method that permits the entry of a combination of alphabetic and numeric characters, e.g., alphanumeric string, and converts it into a telephone number to a complete call (col. 2, lines 3-10, lines 33-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the converting technique of a combination of alphabetic and numeric data into numeric data taught by Reding within the system of Valimaa in order to eliminate the burden on a user to convert alphabetic information into numeric information, and reduce the amount of time required to service a call involving alphabetic characters (col. 2, lines 52-60).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lipp et al.	US 5,561,702 A	10/01/1996
Hayes, Jr.	US 5,894,594 A	04/13/1999
Dayani	US 5,517,682 A	05/14/1996
James	US 4,650,927 A	03/17/1987

Application/Control Number: 10/622,598

Art Unit: 2681

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean A Gelin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (703) 306-0003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGelin

March 6, 2005

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER